Biomedical Research & Therapy

Research

Check for updates

Author for correspondence:
Nastaran Teimoory
e-mail: nteimoory@yahoo.com

Comparison of fetal middle cerebral artery versus umbilical artery color Doppler ultrasound for predicting neonatal outcome in complicated pregnancies with fetal growth restriction

Fatemeh Rahimi Sharbaf[1], Fatemeh Movahed[2], Reihaneh Pirjani[3], Nastaran Teimoory[4], Mamak Shariat[5] and Zahra Farahani[6]

[1]Associate Professor, Department of Perinatology, Yas Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran-Iran & Maternal, Fetal and Neonatal Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
[2]Obstetrician and Gynecologist, Department of Perinatology, Yas Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
[3]Associate Professor, Department of Perinatology, Arash Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran-Iran, Baghdarnia, Resalat high way, Tehran, Iran
[4]Fellowship of Perinatology, Yas Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran-Iran, Sarv Ave., North Nejatolahi Street, Tehran, Iran
[5]Professor, Maternal, Fetal and Neonatal Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
[6]PhD Candidate in Physiology, Maternal, Fetal and Neonatal Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Biomed Press
An Open Access Publisher

Abstract

Background: Although using Doppler ultrasonography of umbilical structures is a common method for predicting prenatal adverse outcome, recent studies have proposed the importance of middle cerebral artery (MCA) Doppler assessment for antenatal monitoring. The aim of the present study was to compare alterations in the fetal MCA versus umbilical artery (UA) pulsatility indices (PI) in complicated pregnancies by fetal growth restriction (FGR). **Methods**: A cohort study was carried out in Yas Hospital (Tehran, Iran) in 2016. One hundred fifty pregnant women in third trimester with estimation of FGR participated in the study. Transabdominal ultrasound was performed to determine fetal weight. After birth, all neonates were divided into 2 groups: small for gestational age (SGA) and non-SGA. We compared the values of UA and MCA Doppler in predicting SGA. Data were analyzed with t-test and Chi-square test. **Results**: After delivery, 126 mothers had SGA while 24 subjects had non-SGA neonates. Unlike UA PI, MCA artery PI of the SGA and non-SGA groups were significantly different (p=0.062 for UA PI comparison; p=0.0001 for MCA PI comparison). Of the 126 cases, there was decreased MCA PI in 40.5% of fetuses and increased UA PI in 22.2% of fetuses. There were significant differences in both sensitivity and specificity for MCA PI versus UA PI (p=0.014 for sensitivity; p=0.009 for specificity). **Conclusion**: Results showed that of all the SGA cases, a decrease in MCA PI was more notable than changes in UA PI. Sensitivity, specificity and prediction of SGA for MCA PI were higher than those for UA PI.

1. Background

Fetal growth restriction (FGR) is defined as failure to achieve specific fetal biometric measures or estimated weight (less than 10_{th} percentile) by a specific gestational age. FGR is responsible for different types of pre- and post-natal morbidity and mortality. The prevalence of FGR is reported to be about 3-10%. Based on the time of onset, it is classified into 2 groups- early (before 32 weeks) and late (after 32 weeks) onset. Some factors leading to FGR include maternal causes (hypertension, diabetes, cardiopulmonary disease, anemia, malnutrition, smoking, drug use), fetal causes (genetic disease including aneuploidy, congenital malformations, fetal infection, multiple pregnancies), and placental causes (placental insufficiency, placental infarction, placental mosaicism) [1–5].

In the past, evaluation of an FGR fetus was performed by utilizing electronic fetal monitoring and biophysical profile. These methods have several disadvantages, including false positive findings which lead to waste of time [6]. Nowadays, Doppler velocimetry examination is an improved clinical tool for assessing utero-placental blood flow and resistances in complicated pregnancies by preeclampsia or FGR [7].

Some studies have demonstrated that diagnosis and management of FGR rely on umbilical artery Doppler (UAD) and others have shown the benefits of uterine artery Doppler assessment in the first-trimester development of FGR. The value of combining pulsatility indices (PI) of both the umbilical artery (UA) and uterine artery for improving prediction of FGR has also been demonstrated. On the other hand, several studies have indicated that there is no correlations between fetal Doppler indices and predictive value for small for gestational age (SGA) [8–12].

The middle cerebral artery (MCA) may also predict fetal outcomes from alterations in cerebral blood flow and its direction [13]. It was confirmed that during the prenatal period, the resistance in the cerebral artery of the fetus is high. However, this parameter can change in threatening conditions, such as placental insufficiency and hypoxemia, due to stimulation of chemoreceptors and alteration in vasodilator or vasoconstrictor agents [14]. MCA Doppler measurement has been advocated as an efficient modality for detection of fetal hypoxia, which leads to perinatal adverse outcome and fetal compromise [15].

Prediction of SGA is valuable for earlier prevention and chance for better treatment outcome. The purpose of the present study was to compare the values of UA versus MCA Doppler in predicting late onset FGR to potentially modify obstetrical management.

2. Methods

(a) Study population and study design

This prospective cohort study was carried out at Yas Hospital, affiliated with Tehran University of Medical Sciences (Tehran, Iran) in 2016. The target population included pregnant women in their third trimester, with estimation of fetal FGR by ultrasound examination. The inclusion criteria included fetal growth < the 10th percentile for weight of all fetuses at the same gestational age, gestational age 32^{+0} to 39^{+6} weeks, singleton pregnancy, and no major fetal anomalies. Exclusion criteria included premature rupture of membrane, post term pregnancy, mother's decline, and development of pre-eclampsia. All participants gave written consent and were accepted; they received routine prenatal care and delivery in our center.

Transabdominal ultrasound was performed to determine fetal weight. If the estimated weight was under the 10th percentile according to the Hadlock formula [16], Doppler ultrasound of the UA and MCA was done. Ultrasound examination was carried out by an expert perinatologist (who was blinded to the study) using Doppler and high resolution ultrasonography (ACUSON Sequoia 512^{TM}, Siemens Healthcare GmbH, made in USA). UA PI in the upper 95th percentile was considered as abnormal range (values more than 1.2). MCA PI was measured 2 mm upper the internal carotid, in the axial plan, and the angle between the Doppler beam and the vessel was set at 0-30. MCA PI under the 5th percentile was considered as abnormal range (values less than 0.9).

Immediately after birth, for determination of arterial blood gas, 1-2 ml of neonatal blood was drawn from UA with a heparinized syringe, labeled, and transferred to the laboratory. All neonates were divided into the 2 groups: SGA and non-SGA, based on the Hadlock formula. Data related to the MCA and UA PI indices were compared between the 2 groups. Finally, we compared the values of UA and MCA Doppler in predicting SGA. Moreover, correlations between related factors between the 2 groups were evaluated.

(b) Participant characteristics

Demographic characteristics of the mothers and their neonates included maternal age, body mass index (BMI), gestational age, parity, type of delivery, underlying diseases, birth weight of the neonates, sex, 5-minute Apgar score, arterial blood gas (ABG) results, neonatal complications, cause of hospitalization, days of neonatal intensive-care unit (NICU) admission, UA PI, and MCA PI. All information were gathered and recorded on checklists.

(c) Data analysis

The software package SPSS version 20 was used to perform the statistical analysis. Data are presented as mean + standard deviation for continuous variables and frequency/percentage for categorical variables. The t-test and Chi square test were applied to analyze the relationships between variables. P value < 0.05 was considered as statistical significance.

(d) Ethical considerations

All gathered data were considered confidential. No extra cost was constrained on participants. All participants signed an informed consent. Moreover, subjects were assured about their rights to discontinue the study course. Ethics approval for the study was obtained from the Institutional

Review Board of Tehran University of Medical Sciences, according to the Helsinki declaration (No; IR. TUMS MEDICINE REC, 1395; 1264).

3. Results

One hundred fifty pregnant women with estimated fetal FGR (based on ultrasound findings) participated in the study. After delivery, 126 mothers (84%) had an SGA neonate while 24 subjects (16%) had a non-SGA neonate. The mean maternal age in the SGA versus Non-SGA groups were 27.83 ± 5.85 and 27.45 ± 5.55 years, respectively. Independent t-test analysis did not show any significant differences between both groups regarding maternal age (p=0.51). No neonatal mortality was seen and no neonates needed ventilation.

Our results showed that cesarean section in the SGA group was significantly more frequent than in the non-SGA group (p=0.00). There was a significant correlation between maternal underlying disease and delivery of a SGA neonate (p=0.01). Mean birth weight in the SGA group was significantly lower than in the non-SGA group, and most neonates in this group had a neonatal weight below the 5th percentile for gestational age (p=0.00). More neonates in the SGA group were hospitalized in the NICU and stayed longer compared to neonates in the other group (p=0.04). There was a significant difference between the 2 groups with regard to mean cord blood pH (in the SGA group, 7.22 ± 0.048, in the non-SGA group; 7.26 ± 0.032, p=0.00). Detailed data of subjects and their neonates are shown in **Table 1**.

With regard to Doppler examination, MCA PI between SGA and non-SGA groups was significantly different. However, there was no significant difference between 2 groups as it pertains to UA PI (p=0.00 vs. p=0.06) (**Table 1**). **Table 2** shows detailed data related to the MCA and UA indices. Of the 126 cases, decreased MCA PI as observed in 40.5% of fetuses, and increased UA PI was observed in 22.2% of fetuses. Of all, 47 cases showed abnormal PI in one of 2 arteries and 16 cases showed abnormality in both arteries. Cord blood pH in SGA neonates with abnormal PI (MCA or UA) was significantly lower than that in SGA neonates with normal PI (7.20 ± 0.012 vs. 7.24 ± 0.051, p=0.01). On the other hand, in the non-SGA group, this association was not significant (blood pH in neonates with abnormal PI=7.25 ± 0.044 blood pH in neonates with normal PI=7.26 ± 0.028, p=0.26).

Moreover, the study results showed significant correlations between NICU hospitalization and abnormality for both MCA and UA PI. Indeed, of 150 neonates, 96 cases had normal MCA PI, of which 9 needed NICU hospitalization. Meanwhile, 54 neonates had abnormal MCA PI, and 28 cases were hospitalized (p=0.00). Furthermore, of the 116 neonates with normal UA PI, 21 cases were hospitalized while 34 had abnormal UA PI, of which 16 cases needed NICU hospitalization (p=0.00).

Detailed analysis also demonstrated that of the 126 SGA neonates, NICU hospitalization was more frequent in neonates with abnormal MCA PI than in those with normal MCA PI (54.9% vs. 9.3%, p=0.0001). This positive correlation was also significant for abnormal UA PI versus normal UA PI (57.1% vs. 19.4%, p=0.00). On the other hand, the associations between the same variables were not notable among neonates in the non-SGA group.

We also found that the frequency of neonatal respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) among SGA neonates with abnormal MCA PI was significantly higher than in neonates with normal MCA PI (p=0.01). On the other hand, the frequency of RDS was not different among non-SGA neonates when comparing normal versus abnormal MCA PI. Moreover, the frequency of RDS between SGA (3.2%) and non-SGA groups (4.2%) was not different.

There was a significant correlation between cerebroplacental ratio (CPR) and MCA PI; however, this correlation was not observed for CPR and UA PI (p=0.00 vs. p=0.95, respectively). The results showed CPR <1 as a potential predictor of adverse outcome among SGA neonates (**Table 3**). **Table 4** shows the sensitivity, specificity, and prediction value for both MCA PI and UA PI. There were significant differences for sensitivity and specificity between MCA PI and UA PI (p=0.01; p=0.00, respectively. The study results revealed a significant lower value for the UA PI index as compared to the MCA PI for SGA prediction.

Table 1. Clinical characteristic data of subjects and their neonates

Variables	SGA group N=126	Non-SGA group N=24	P value
BMI	28.06±2.50	28.20±1.76	0.779+
Parity (n %)			
- Nulipar	72 (57.14)	15 (62.5)	0.626 ++
- Multipar	54 (42.86)	9 (37.5)	
Underlying complications			
- HTN	4	1	
- Pregnancy induced HTN	1	0	
- Diabetes	4	0	0.014 +++
- Gestational diabetes	3	1	
- Previous SGA	6	1	
- Gestational age (wk ± SD))	38.01±1.24	38.21±1.08	0.497+
Type of delivery			
- C/S	78 (61.9)	8 (33.3)	0.009 ++
- NVD	48 ((38.1)	16 (66.7)	
Neonatal SGA			
- <5th percentile	91 (72/22)	0	0.0001 +++
- 5-10th percentile	35 (27.78)	0	
- >10th percentile	0	24 (100)	
Sex			
- Female	83	14	.0479++
- Male	43	10	
Mean birth weight (g ± SD)	2171.23±452.16	3031±294.36	0.0001 +
5th minute apgar score<7	1 (.79)	0	0.693+++
NICU admission	35 (27.77)	2 (8.33)	0.043 +++
Days of admission	9.94±3.82	5.25±3.68	0.026+
Cord blood pH	7.22±0.048	7.26±0.032	0.0001+
Umbilical PI	1.368±0.606	1.087±0.630	0.062+
Cerebral PI	1.409±0.377	1.799±0.412	0.000+1

+T student Test, ++Chi-squareTest, +++ Fisher Exact Test

Table 2. Both groups' pulsatility index value for UA and MCA

Pulsatility index	MCA	UA
SGA group		
- Normal	75 (59)	98 (77.7)
- Decreased	51 (40. 5)	-
- Increased	-	28 (22.2)
Non-SGA group		
- Normal	21 (87.5)	18
- Decreased	3 (12.5)	-
- Increased	-	6

Table 3. Comparison of MCA- UA indices and Cerbroplacental Ratio

	UA PI	MCA PI	CPR
SGA	1.768	1.409	0.901
Non SGA	1.787	1.799	1.145
P value+	0.953	0.0001	0.018

Table 4. Prediction value of UA, MCA and both MCA & UA for detecting abnormal fetal outcome

Doppler index	Sensitivity (%)	Specicity (%)	Prediction value(%)	
			Positive	Negative
MCA	74	93	95	66
UA	53	69	88	33
Both MCA & UA	64	81	91	41

4. Discussion

Although using Doppler ultrasonography of UA is a common method for evaluation of uteroplacental circulation and predicting perinatal adverse outcomes [8–11], recent studies have proposed the importance of MCA Doppler assessment for antenatal monitoring [17]. The present study compared the alterations in fetal MCA and UA PI in complicated pregnancies (by FGR). To the best of our knowledge, this study is among the first few studies focused on SGA predicting values for MCA and UA PI.

The most important finding of the present study was that of the 126 SGA cases, a decrease in MCA PI was statistically more notable than changes in UA PI (40.5% vs. 22.2%, respectively). Consistent with our findings, other investigation also revealed that diastolic blood flow (indicating redistribution of the blood to vital organs) appears earlier in fetal cerebral circulation than in the UA artery and aorta. Moreover, alterations in cerebral circulation are more sensitive to changes in the systemic circulation, that can predict the condition of the fetus [17].

In accordance with other investigations, the obtained results showed substantial risks for adverse perinatal outcomes due to FGR. The frequencies of cesarean delivery, low birth weight, longer hospitalization and acidosis were more common among SGA neonates, as opposed to non-SGA neonates. Higher frequencies of stillbirth, neonatal death, and complications related to prematurity (such as neurocognitive delay, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular disease) were seen among neonates with FGR [18]. Nanthakomon et al. also revealed that SGA neonates with abnormal UA PI had high frequency of NICU admission and long hospitalization. Moreover,

among the SGA neonates with abnormal MCA PI, low birth weight, hospitalization at NICU, need for ventilators, and 5-min Apgar score <7, were all common [19].

However; we could not find any correlation between SGA and 5-min Apgar score <7. Consistent to our finding, Nannig *et al.* have also demonstrated low levels of pH in 43 SGA neonates [20]. Sharma *et al.* revealed the importance of maternal conditions like maternal health, behavioral habits, maternal underlying disease, and mismatch between the supply of nutrients by the placenta and the demand of the fetus in FGR etiology [21]. Werner *et al.* showed that of 2885 SGA neonates, delivery by cesarean was more common than by normal vaginal delivery (57.9% vs. 42.1%, p<0.05) [22].

Such common adverse perinatal outcomes demonstrate the importance of earlier diagnosis of SGA and interventions. According to our results, a significant correlation was observed between CPR and MCA PI. CPR <1 were found as a potential predictor of adverse outcome among SGA neonates. The results also showed a high sensitivity, specificity and predicting value of middle cerebral PI (74%, 93% and 95%, respectively) in SGA prediction. Our finding was compatible with other studies; Hemlata *et al.* demonstrated that 71%, 92% and 94% represented the sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value of MCA for detecting SGA-related abnormal fetal outcomes [23]. Ibrahim *et al.* also revealed a lower PI value of MCA as a highly specific value for predicting FGR and fetal demise [24]. Monteith *et al.* have shown the importance of a serial abnormal CPR <1 as a potential predictor of adverse outcomes among 1116 SGA fetuses [25].

Finally, the results of the present study showed false positive errors related to ultrasound examination in predicting fetal weight. Although 150 fetuses were diagnosed as FGR in the third trimester, after delivery 24, neonates were not SGA. In accordance with our results, Williams et al. demonstrated that there was about 20% false positives and 20% false negatives in sonographic estimation of fetal weight [26].

Our study included a few limitations. First, the data were limited to the third trimester of gestation. Second, we did not consider other maternal variables, such as ethnicity and smoking, which may influence the findings. Indices related to uterine artery were not considered, though they could provide informative data. Finally, for evaluation of different factors, a larger sample size is needed.

5. Conclusions

Our study results showed that of the SGA cases, a decrease in MCA PI was statistically more notable than changes in UA PI. Sensitivity, specificity and predictive values of MCA PI for SGA prediction was higher than those for UA PI.

6. Open Access

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0) which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

7. List of abbreviations

FGR: Fetal growth restriction; **MCA**: middle cerebral artery; **PI**: pulsatility indices ; **UA**: umbilical arteries ; **UAD**: umbilical artery Doppler

8. Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethics approval for the study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Tehran University of Medical Sciences, according to the Helsinki declaration (No; IR. TUMS MEDICINE REC, 1395; 1264).

9. Availability of data and materials

Will be provided if requested.

10. Competing interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

11. Funding

None

12. Authors' contributions

Dr. Rahimi and Teimoory carried out the design and coordinated the study, participated in most of the experiments. Dr. Pirjani, Movahed and Shariat coordinated and carried out all the experiments, Analysis of data and participated in manuscript preparation. Mrs. Farahani provided assistance for all experiments and prepared the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the content of the manuscript.

13. Acknowledgements

References

1. Henrichs J, Verfaille V, Viester L, Westerneng M, Molewijk B, Franx A, Horst HVD, Bosmans JE, Jonge AD, Jellema P. 2016 Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of routine third trimester ultrasound screening for intrauterine growth restriction: study protocol of a nationwide stepped wedge cluster-randomized trial in The Netherlands (The IRIS Study). *BMC pregnancy and childbirth* **16**, 310.
2. Muresan D, Rotar IC, Stamatian F. 2016 The usefulness of fetal Doppler evaluation in early versus late onset intrauterine growth restriction. Review of the literature. *Medical ultrasonography* **18**, 103.
3. Fardiazar Z, Atashkhouei S, Yosefzad Y, Goldust M, Torab R. 2013 Comparison of fetal middle cerebral arteries, umbilical and uterin artery color Doppler ultrasound with blood gas analysis in pregnancy complicated by IUGR. *Iranian journal of reproductive medicine* **11**, 47.
4. Rasyid H, Bakri S. 2016 Intra-uterine Growth Retardation and Development of Hypertension.. *Acta Medica Indonesiana* **48**, 320–324.
5. Suhag A, Berghella V. 2013 Intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR): etiology and diagnosis. *Current Obstetrics and Gynecology Reports* **2**, 102–111.
6. Baschat AA, Galan HL, Bhide A, Berg C, Kush ML, Oepkes D, Thilaganathan B, Gembruch U, Harman CR. 2006 Doppler and biophysical assessment in growth restricted fetuses: distribution of test results. *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology* **27**, 41–47.
7. Piazze J, Gioia S, Cerekja A, Larciprete G, Argento T, Pizzulo S, Cosmi EV. 2007 Doppler velocimetry alterations related to platelet changes in third trimester pregnancies. *Platelets* **18**, 11–15.
8. Levytska K, Higgins M, Keating S, Melamed N, Walker M, Sebire NJ et al.. 2017 Placental pathology in relation to uterine artery Doppler findings in pregnancies with severe intrauterine growth restriction and abnormal umbilical artery Doppler changes. *American journal of perinatology* **34**, 451–457.
9. Melchiorre K, Leslie K, Prefumo F, Bhide A, Thilaganathan B. 2009 First-trimester uterine artery Doppler indices in the prediction of small-for-gestational age pregnancy and intrauterine growth restriction. *Ultrasound in Obstetrics & Gynecology* **33**, 524–529.

2304

10. Gudmundsson S, Flo K, Ghosh G, Wilsgaard T, Acharya G. 2017 Placental pulsatility index: a new, more sensitive parameter for predicting adverse outcome in pregnancies suspected of fetal growth restriction. *Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica* **96**, 216–222.

11. Nagar T, Sharma D, Choudhary M, Khoiwal S, Nagar RP, Pandita A. 2015 The role of uterine and umbilical arterial doppler in high-risk pregnancy: a prospective observational study from India. *Clinical Medicine Insights: Reproductive Health* **9**, CMRH–S24048.

12. Triunfo S, Parra-Saavedra M, Rodriguez-Sureda V, Crovetto F, Dominguez C, Gratacós E, Figueras F. 2016 Angiogenic factors and Doppler evaluation in normally growing fetuses at routine third-trimester scan: prediction of subsequent low birth weight. *Fetal diagnosis and therapy* **40**, 13–20.

13. Aditya I, Tat V, Sawana A, Mohamed A, Tuffner R, Mondal T. 2016 Use of Doppler velocimetry in diagnosis and prognosis of intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR): A Review. *Journal of neonatal-perinatal medicine* **9**, 117–126.

14. Nomura RMY, Niigaki JI, Horigome FT, Francisco RPV, Zugaib M. 2013 Doppler velocimetry of the fetal middle cerebral artery and other parameters of fetal well-being in neonatal survival during pregnancies with placental insufficiency. *Revista da Associação Médica Brasileira* **59**, 392–399.

15. Shahinaj R, Manoku N, Kroi E, Tasha I. 2010 The value of the middle cerebral to umbilical artery Doppler ratio in the prediction of neonatal outcome in patient with preeclampsia and gestational hypertension. *Journal of prenatal medicine* **4**, 17.

16. Dude AM, Yee LM. 2017 Identifying Fetal Growth Disorders Using Ultrasonography in Women With Diabetes. *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine*.

17. Tarzamni MK, Nezami N, Gatreh-Samani F, Vahedinia S, Tarzamni M. 2009 Doppler waveform indices of fetal middle cerebral artery in normal 20 to 40 weeks pregnancies. *Arch Iran Med* **12**, 29–34.

18. Su EJ. 2015 Role of the fetoplacental endothelium in fetal growth restriction with abnormal umbilical artery Doppler velocimetry. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology* **213**, S123–S130.

19. Nanthakomon T, Uerpairojkit B. 2010 Outcome of small-for-gestational-age fetuses according to umbilical artery Doppler: Is there any yield from additional middle cerebral artery Doppler?. *The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine* **23**, 900–905.

20. Mena PN, Cubillos MC, Toro CJ, Zuñiga CV. 2016 Intrauterine growth and biochemical levels in cord blood samples in infants less than 31 weeks gestation. *Revista chilena de pediatria* **87**, 250–254.

21. Sharma D, Shastri S, Sharma P. 2016 Intrauterine growth restriction: antenatal and postnatal aspects. *Clinical Medicine Insights: Pediatrics* **10**, CMPed–S40070.

22. Werner EF, Savitz DA, Janevic TM, Ehsanipoor RM, Thung SF, Funai EF, Lipkind HS. 2012 Mode of delivery and neonatal outcomes in preterm, small-for-gestational-age newborns. *Obstetrics and gynecology* **120**, 560.

23. Dhand H, Kansal HK, Dave A. 2011 Middle cerebral artery Doppler indices better predictor for fetal outcome in IUGR. *The Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology of India* **61**, 166–171.

24. Ibrahim MI, Akram MH, Nafees M. 2014 SENSITIVITY AND SPECIFICITY OF PULSATILITY INDEX UMBILICAL ARTERY AND MIDDLE CEREBRAL ARTERY IN DETECTING INTRA UTERINE GROWTH RESTRICTION.. *Pakistan Armed Forces Medical Journal* **64**.

25. Monteith C, Flood K, Mullers S, Unterscheider J, Breathnach F, Daly S, Geary MP, Kennelly MM, McAuliffe FM, O'donoghue K et al.. 2017 Evaluation of normalization of cerebro-placental ratio as a potential predictor for adverse outcome in SGA fetuses. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology* **216**, 285–e1.

26. Cunningham F, Leveno K, Bloom S, Spong CY, Dashe J. 2014 *Williams obstetrics, 24e*. Mcgraw-hill.