Copen Access Full Text Article

Clinical data analysis of dengue fever severity identification

Norhayati Mohd Zainee¹, Kalaivani Chellappan^{1,*}, Joseph Vehi², Petrick Periyasamy³, Zuraidah Che Man⁴



Use your smartphone to scan this QR code and download this article

¹Department of Electrical, Electronic and Systems, Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, National University of Malaysia, 43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

²Institut d'Informàtica i Aplicacions, P olitècnica IV, Universitat de Girona, Campus Montilivi,17071 Girona, Spain

³Infectious Disease Unit, Hospital Canselor Tuanku Muhriz, Pusat Perubatan UKM, Jalan Yaacob Latif, Bandar Tun Razak, 56000 Cheras, Wilayah Persekutuan Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

⁴Emergency Department, Hospital Canselor Tuanku Muhriz, Pusat Perubatan UKM, Jalan Yaacob Latif, Bandar Tun Razak, 56000 Cheras, Wilayah Persekutuan Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Correspondence

Kalaivani Chellappan, Department of Electrical, Electronic and Systems, Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, National University of Malaysia, 43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

Email: kckalai@ukm.edu.my

History

- Received: Mar 29, 2021
- Accepted: Jul 14, 2021

• Published: Jul 30, 2021

DOI: 10.15419/bmrat.v8i7.683

Check for updates

Copyright

© Biomedpress. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.



ABSTRACT

Introduction: The dynamic nature of dengue fever demands rapid and repeated clinical detection based on the vital signs variables, blood profile, and symptoms for providing timely clinical treatment. Patients with or without warning signs are at risk of contracting the severe dengue; thus, this study aims to identify the vital signs and blood profile variables that would differentiate the severity levels of dengue fever among dengue patients. **Methods**: The patients were aged between 15 and 60 years and diagnosed with dengue infection. The infections were confirmed through laboratory tests positive either for the NS1 antigen or the dengue IgM antibody. The patients had no premorbid conditions. **Results**: Our results show that dengue fever without warning signs and severe dengue can be differentiated using the pulse rate on day 3 (p = 0.008), day 4 (p = 0.004), day 5 (p = 0.005), and day 6 (p = 0.011) and the white blood cell count (p = 0.008) on day 7 of illness. Statistically significant parameters on day 6 of illness, such as systolic blood pressure (p = 0.001), pulse rate (p = 0.001), and hematocrit (p = 0.001), distinguished dengue fever with warning signs from the severe dengue category. Hematocrit (p = 0.000) was also found to be statistically significant in dengue fever with warning signs versus severe dengue on day 8 of illness. In conclusion, pulse rate was found to be a common marker for day 3 to day 6 even though other parameters were found to be significant. However, for day 7 and day 8, pulse rate was not found to be a contributor, instead, white blood cells and hematocrit were significant factors. Conclusion: As the results of this study are based on a limited number of patients, it is recommended to further study the validity of the findings with a larger number of patients in the future.

Key words: Blood profile, Dengue fever, Emergency Department, Vital signs

INTRODUCTION

Dengue fever is an acute febrile illness caused by the dengue virus¹, which is transmitted to humans from the bites of infected female Aedes aegypti mosquitoes². Dengue fever is one of the top five notifiable infectious diseases in Malaysia^{3,4}. In 2015, 111,285 dengue cases, with 301 deaths, were reported to the World Health Organisation (WHO) by the Ministry of Health, Malaysia⁵. As of October 2018, 55,132 dengue cases, with 95 deaths, were reported on the Malaysia iDengue community portal⁶. The three dengue phases are febrile phase, critical phase, and the recovery phase⁷. During the febrile phase, in a person infected with dengue virus, an antibody is produced, which augments virus multiplication. The body temperature of someone infected with dengue increases to approximately 40°C due to increased blood dilatation, causing hyperemia and increasing local heat release and redness; one of the symptoms of dengue is red rashes¹. At the same time, the blood pressure of an infected person can be low or considered hypotensive and also, the pulse rate (PR) decreases due to vasodilation. A critical phase in dengue occurs during the defervescence of body

temperature. As the permeability of the capillaries increases, it induces plasma leakage, causing hematocrit concentrations to increase by 20% along with an increase in patient blood level⁸. Due to plasma leakage, blood clotting occurs at the site of capillary injury and leukocytes (white blood cells [WBC]) accumulate; however, leukocyte concentrations decrease because used in defense against the viral infection. Platelet concentrations also decrease because dengue suppresses the bone marrow, where platelets are produced⁹. The blood cells affected by the dengue virus become damaged, leading to a drastic reduction in number. The antibodies produced during dengue are associated with the massive destruction of platelets⁹. Monitoring plasma leakage, hemodynamic status, clinical signs of plasma leakage, and hematocrit before the severe form develops, comprise appropriate dengue management¹⁰. Dengue's dynamic nature demands close monitoring, as well as rapid and repeated clinical detection to provide timely clinical treatment^{11,12}. Measurement of vital signs plays a fundamental role in many medical evaluations; however, there has been no large-scale study reporting daily vital signs in patients with dengue¹³. In dengue,

Cite this article : Zainee N M, Chellappan K, Vehi J, Periyasamy P, Man Z C. Clinical data analysis of dengue fever severity identification. *Biomed. Res. Ther.*; 8(7):4447-4455.

vital signs such as blood pressure, PR, and body temperature play important roles in the control and diagnosis of dengue¹⁴. Hence, an analysis of the vital signs and blood profile of patients with dengue in relation to dengue severity was performed in this study.

METHODS

Data collection and patient selection

This study's clinical data were obtained from a database of patients who were presented to the Hospital Canselor Tuanku Muhriz (HCTM) Emergency Department between January 2015 and January 2016, and were suspected of having dengue fever and admitted to the wards for monitoring. Patients who fulfilled the following criteria were selected: Malaysian citizens aged between 15 and 60-years; confirmed as having acute dengue infection through laboratory tests, positive either for the NS1 antigen or the dengue IgM antibody; and no premorbid conditions such as diabetes, pregnancy, renal failure, or HIV. The patients' information was screened using the emergency department observation ward logbook and relevant information was retrieved from medical records. Vital sign variables were extracted from the patients' triage registration form at the emergency department, from the patients' dengue charts, and from the blood profile data available on the HCTM online database. The Research Ethics Committee of HCTM approved the study (UKM1.5.3.5 /244/FKAB-2015-03).

Data preparation and presentation

The clinical presentation was classified according to the latest WHO guidelines and the Malaysian Clinical Practice Guidelines for dengue^{13,15}. Dengue is clinically classified as dengue fever without warning signs (DF), dengue fever with warning signs (DFWS), and severe dengue (SD) by the WHO^{1,13,15}. The data were clustered based on dengue categories, vital sign variables (body temperature, systolic blood pressure [SBP], diastolic blood pressure [DBP], pulse pressure, and pulse rate [PR]), and blood profile variables (hematocrit, platelet, and WBCs). These data were then calculated into the median or mean parameter, based on the spread of the data¹⁶. As such, PR, pulse pressure, DBP, SBP, and platelets were analyzed using the median value while body temperature, hematocrit, and WBC were analyzed using the mean value.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS version 21. The distribution of the data from day 3

to day 8 of illness was assessed using Shapiro-Wilk, Skewness and Kurtosis, and homogeneity tests for the continuous variables. From this analysis, the PR and hematocrit data were normally distributed on days 6 and day 8 of illness. These normally distributed data were further analyzed using an analysis of variance (ANOVA), and the differences between groups were evaluated by using an Independent Sample T-test. For the non-normally distributed data, the variable was evaluated by using a Kruskal–Wallis analysis with post hoc significance testing (Bonferroni–Dunn).

Table 1: Clinical characteristics of dengue fever patients based on the dengue severity

	DF (n = 6)	DFWS (n = 43)	SD (n = 13)
Sex, no. (%)			
Male	5 (83)	28 (64)	4 (31)
Female	1 (17)	15 (36)	9 (69)
Ethnicity, no. (%)			
Malay	3 (50)	29 (66)	7 (54)
Chinese	2 (33)	14 (34)	4 (31)
Indian	1 (17)	0	2 (15)
Serology, no. (%)			
NS1 (+)	0	13 (30)	5 (38)
IgM (+)	4 (67)	11 (25)	3 (23)
IgM (+) + IgG (+)	2 (33)	16 (39)	4 (31)
NS1 (+) + IgG (+)	0	3 (7)	1 (8)
Fatalities, no. (%)	0	0	2 (15)
Mean day of admission	4	5	6

DF: dengue fever without warning signs; DFWS: dengue fever with warning signs; SD: severe dengue; n: number of sample

Table 2: The analysis of vital signs and blood profile

able 2: The analysis of v	rital signs and blood pr	ofile		
	DF (n = 6)	DFWS (n = 43)	SD (n = 13)	p-value
Day 3				
Body temperature (°C)	37.4 (37.1 - 37.6)	37.4 (37.2 - 37.9)	37.2 (36.9 - 37.4)	0.285
Systolic blood pres- sure (mmHg)	114 (106 - 116)	119 (107 - 125)	107 (106 - 118)	0.154
Diastolic blood	60 (57 - 63)	68 (61 - 75)	64 (57 - 72)	0.088
pressure (mmHg) Pulse pressure (mmHg)	49 (47 - 54)	50 (46 - 54)	47 (40 - 55)	0.528
Pulse rate (bpm)	71 (68 - 74)	79 (71 - 87)	97 (78 - 112)	0.006*
Hematocrit (%)	43.4 (42 - 47.4)	45.5 (41.7 - 47.8)	39.3 (31.7 - 46.8)	0.118
Platelets (x10 ³ μ L)	30 (24 - 40)	53 (30 - 90)	31 (24 - 72)	0.102
White blood cells $(x10^3 \mu L)$	3.0 (2.6 - 3.3)	3.9 (2.7 - 5.0)	5.3 (4.1 - 8.5)	0.440
Day 4				
Body temperature (°C)	37.3 (37 - 37.5)	37.8 (37.2 - 38.3)	37.3 (36.6 - 37.8)	0.117
Systolic blood pres- sure (mmHg)	112 (106 - 115)	122 (110 - 129)	109 (103 - 119)	0.011
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	59 (57 - 63)	69 (65 - 76)	61 (60 - 68)	0.006*
Pulse pressure (mmHg)	49 (47 - 51)	51 (45 - 56)	47 (41 - 49)	0.209
Pulse rate (bpm)	71 (68 - 74)	84 (73 - 88)	91 (81 - 106)	0.006*
Hematocrit (%)	43.9 (42 - 47.7)	45.5 (42.1 - 48.9)	42.9 (34.8 - 46.0)	0.127
Platelets (x10 ³ μ L)	30 (23 - 40)	63 (32 - 112)	34 (20 - 95)	0.061
White blood cells $(x10^3 \mu L)$	3.1 (2.7 - 3.3)	3.3 (2.4 - 4.5)	4.8 (2.2 - 9.9)	0.459
Day 5				
Body temperature (°C)	37.2 (37.0 - 37.5)	37.9 (37.1 - 38.4)	37.0 (36.8 - 37.6)	0.030
Systolic blood pres- sure (mmHg)	110 (107 - 113)	117 (111 - 125)	107 (101 - 119)	0.026
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	57 (56 - 62)	70 (64 - 76)	60 (58 - 72)	0.016
Pulse pressure (mmHg)	47 (46 - 50)	50 (43 - 55)	48 (36 - 53)	0.590
Pulse rate (bpm)	72 (70 - 73)	80 (72 - 89)	93 (80 - 105)	0.006*
Hematocrit (%)	44.7 (42.1 - 47.7)	46.1 (42.6 - 48.9)	38.3 (37.1 - 45.2)	0.049
Platelets (x10 ³ μ L)	31 (23 - 42)	51 (22 - 105)	34 (20 - 69)	0.335
White blood cells $(x10^3 \mu L)$	2.9 (2.5 - 4.0)	3.4 (2.3 - 4.3)	4.1 (2.3 - 8.4)	0.421
Day 6				
Body temperature (°C)	37.3 (37.1 - 37.8)	37.3 (36.9 - 37.7)	37.2 (36.8 - 37.6)	0.676
Systolic blood pres- sure (mmHg)	109 (105 - 114)	119 (107 - 123)	100 (95 - 107)	0.002*

Continued on next page

Π

		Table 2 continued		
	DF (n = 6)	DFWS (n = 43)	SD (n = 13)	p-value
Diastolic blood	60 (57 - 63)	68 (60 - 74)	60 (54 - 70)	0.031
pressure (mmHg)				
Pulse pressure	49 (47 - 51)	50 (45 - 55)	45 (39 - 47)	0.039
(mmHg)				
Pulse rate (bpm)	71 (68 - 78)	78 (72 - 88)	89 (82 - 103)	0.001*
Hematocrit (%)	43.5 (41.8 - 47.7)	45.9 (41.7 - 48.3)	40.4 (35.1 - 44.1)	0.004*
Platelets (x10 ³ μ L)	33 (25 - 42)	45 (18 - 79)	38 (17 - 64)	0.747
White blood cells	3.1 (2.2 - 4.6)	3.6 (2.7 - 5.5)	6.1 (4.5 - 9.9)	0.014
$(x10^3 \ \mu L)$				
Day 7				
Body temperature	37.1 (37 - 37.6)	37.1 (37.0 - 37.3)	37.0 (37.0 - 37.4)	0.871
(°C)				
Systolic blood pres-	109 (104 - 117)	113 (106 - 123)	105 (99 - 114)	0.236
sure (mmHg)				
Diastolic blood	60 (54 - 62)	64 (59 - 71)	60 (59 - 70)	0.349
pressure (mmHg)				
Pulse pressure	49 (46 - 52)	50 (44 - 45)	44 (39 - 52)	0.217
(mmHg)				
Pulse rate (bpm)	73 (61 - 76)	76 (70 - 80)	81 (77 - 98)	0.012
Hematocrit (%)	44.4 (42.5 - 47.2)	45.3 (40.0 - 47.4)	38.2 (29.8 - 42.4)	0.013
Platelets (x10 ³ μ L)	33 (27 - 50)	50 (24 - 82)	47 (27 - 76)	0.642
White blood cells	3.6 (2.4 - 4.5)	4.3 (3.3 - 6.6)	7.7 (6.0 - 9.8)	0.004*
$(x10^3 \ \mu L)$				
Day 8				
Body temperature	37.1 (37.0 - 37.1)	37.1 (36.9 - 37.4)	36.9 (36.8 - 37.0)	0.274
(°C)				
Systolic blood pres-	112 (106 - 113)	117 (107 - 124)	106 (99 - 118)	0.191
sure (mmHg)				
Diastolic blood	60 (58 - 62)	66 (58 - 71)	63 (56 - 72)	0.262
pressure (mmHg)				
Pulse pressure	49 (48 - 53)	51 (46 - 55)	45 (37 - 51)	0.272
(mmHg)				
Pulse rate (bpm)	69 (68 - 71)	72 (66 - 81)	78 (72 - 97)	0.040
Hematocrit (%)	44.7 (41.6 - 47.9)	44.1 (40.3 - 47.0)	35.5 (31.4 - 42.4)	0.000*
Platelets (x10 ³ μ L)	39 (30 -47)	63 (42 -105)	74 (59 - 91)	0.179
White blood cells	3.7 (3.2 - 5.2)	4.9 (3.7 - 6.4)	6.9 (4.9 - 9.9)	0.080
$(x10^3 \mu L)$				

Data are presented as median = (interquartilerange) *significant at p < 0.01 DF: dengue fever without warning signs; DFWS: dengue fever with warning signs; SD: severe dengue; n: number of sample

RESULTS

In this study, 62 patients who were presented to the emergency department were selected. These selected patients represented the spectrum of dengue illness and fulfilled the screening criteria. Sample characteristics and laboratory results according to the various classifications are summarized in **Table 1**. To obtain a sensitive result, a significant difference of p < 0.01 was used in the analysis. **Table 2** shows the analysis result of the Kruskal–Wallis and one-way ANOVA.

The result shows five variables (PR, SBP, DBP, WBC, and hematocrit) were statistically significant in all dengue categories from day 3 to day 8 of illness. The analysis for PR detailed on day 3 is $(\chi^2 (2) = 10.222;$ p = 0.006); on day 4, the DBP was ($\chi^2(2) = 10.239$; p = 0.006) and the PR ($\chi^2(2)$ = 10.213; p = 0.006). On day 5, the PR ($\chi^2(2) = 10.192$; p = 0.006); and on day 6, the SBP was ($\chi^2(2) = 13.002$; p = 0.002). The significant difference in PR and hematocrit on day 6 were determined using a one-way ANOVA, the PR (F(2,59) = 8.252; p = 0.001), and hematocrit (F (2,59) = 6.161; p = 0.004). On day 7, the WBC count was (χ^2 (2) = 10.967; p = 0.004) and on day 8, the hematocrit (F (2, 59) = 8.964; p = 0.000). All these significant differences in dengue categories were further analyzed to identify the significant difference in DF vs. DFWS, DF vs. SD, and DFWS vs. SD. The results are shown in Table 3.

The analysis in this study shows that DF and SD can be differentiated using PR from day 3 to day 6 of illness and WBCs for the blood profile on day 7. For DFWS and SD, two vital signs variables and one blood profile variable can be used to differentiate these categories for days 6 and 8 of illness. On day 6, SBP, PR, and hematocrit were statistically significant variables in DFWS vs. SD category, whereas on day 8, only hematocrit showed a significant difference. A boxplot presentation of this evolution is shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2. The horizontal bar is the median value, and the shaded box is the 25%-75% interquartile range. The box plots in Figure 1 describe changes in PR from day 3 to day 6 and WBCs on day 7 for DF vs. SD. The box plots in Figure 2 describe changes in PR, SBP, and hematocrit for DFWS vs. SD category for days 6 and 8 of illness.

DISCUSSION

Vital signs monitoring in dengue fever empowers dengue progression diagnosis, and appropriate monitoring ensures more effective dengue management¹⁷. Accuracy of dengue progression monitoring depends on the protocol practiced in the hospital, the sensitivity of the devices, and the timely actions¹⁸. Patients with or without warning signs are at risk for severe dengue, physiological parameter monitoring has been recommended as the reference. This study has identified pulse rate as a statistically significant variable from day 3 to day 6 to differentiate the dengue fever severity level ^{1,19}.

We conducted a retrospective study on the data of the patients admitted with dengue fever at the Emergency Department of HCTM. In this study, dengue fever severity was distinguished based on DF, DFWS, and SD. The severity of DF could only be distinguished starting from day 3 when most patients start to move into the critical phase or severe dengue²⁰. In our study, PR and WBC were statistically significant parameters in DF vs SD category. A previous study in Singapore that referenced the WHO Dengue Classification 1997 protocol, had found that PR in patients with DF was lower than those of patients with dengue hemorrhagic fever, which is similar to the findings of this study²¹. The increased PR in SD compared with DF is due to peripheral vasoconstriction that caused blood vessel narrowing as an outcome of the vessel muscular wall contraction^{1,22,23}. In another study conducted in Singapore recorded WBC count known as leukopenia, from day 3 to day 7 of the illness; this is reassured by studies in India and Sri Lanka that referenced to WHO Dengue Classification 1997²⁴⁻²⁶. The WBC count usually starts to increase either in the recovery phase or due to the stress response in patients with severe bleeding¹. The recovery phase had occurred 24-48 hours after the critical phase¹; in this case, the recovery phase was predicted to start on day 6 of the illness, which supported our findings when the WBC was found to be statistically significant in DF vs SD on day 7.

SBP, PR, and hematocrit were the variables that reached statistical significance in DFWS and SD on day 6 (p = 0.001) and hematocrit (p = 0.000) on day 8 of the illness. Given that the clinical presentation of DFWS and SD are the same, physicians frequently focus on SBP and ignore the increasing DBP and PR when monitoring for SD. This indicates the pitfall in dengue management and highlights the importance of SBP together with PR monitoring in differentiating the DFWS from SD¹. The study in Malaysia that divided the severe dengue cases based on WHO Dengue Classification 2009 into severe dengue (survived) and severe dengue (died) found that PR was statistically significant, which is similar to this study²⁷. A previous study in Indonesia had found hematocrit was statistically significantly different in Dengue Grade I. II, and III (WHO Dengue Classification 2011) while a study in Thailand, found hematocrit was statistically

Table 3: Post-hoc analysis for vital signs and blood profile

Table 3: Post-hoc analysis for vital signs and blood profile				
Dengue category	DF VS DFWS	DF VS SD	DFWS VS SD	
Day of illness				
Day 3				
Pulse rate	p = 0.308	p = 0.008*	p = 0.045	
Day 4				
Diastolic	p = 0.020	p = 0.921	p = 0.98	
Pulse rate	p = 0.074	p = 0.004*	p = 0.187	
Day 5				
Pulse rate	p = 0.118	p = 0.005*	p = 0.119	
Day 6				
Systolic	p = 0.748	p = 0.616	p = 0.001*	
Pulse rate	p = 0.147	p = 0.011*	p = 0.001*	
Hematocrit	p = 0.872	p = 0.092	p = 0.001*	
Day 7				
White blood cells	p = 0.422	p = 0.008*	p = 0.022	
Day 8				
Hematocrit	p = 0.750	p = 0.028	p = 0.000*	

*significant at p < 0.01

DF: dengue fever without warning signs; DFWS: dengue fever with warning signs; SD: severe dengue; n: number of sample; vs: versus; p: p-value

significantly on day 6 of the illness using dengue with and without shock classifications^{18,28}. In our finding, however, hematocrit was statistically significant on days 6 and 8 based on the WHO Dengue Classification 2009. A critical phase in DFWS was predicted to occur starting on day 5 of illness, whereas SD critical phase could be predicted on day 4 by referring to body temperature, platelets, and hematocrit trends in Table 2. Due to this condition, hematocrit was statistically significant on days 6 and 8 in the SD category, entering the recovery phase from day 6 onwards. A limitation of this study is that no special reference letters were received from the general practitioners' clinics to identify the actual day the patients started having fever. Besides that, individual patients progressed differently through the phases of the illness depending on their immune system's response to the virus and to treatment interventions. In this discussion, we have identified PR as a significant parameter to be monitored from day 3 to day 6; WBC for day 7; and PR, SBP, and hematocrit for days 6 and 8. However, the small sample size, lack of actual fever com-

mencement details, and individual physiology trends

encourage further studies on the validity of the find-

ings with a larger number of patients.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, PR and WBCs were the variables that could be used to differentiate DF and SD severity from day 3 to day 7 of the illness, whereas PR, SBP, and hematocrit could be used to differentiate DFWS and SD severity on days 6 and 8 of illness. Continuous vital sign and blood profile monitoring could assist physicians in identifying the dengue severity category and provide appropriate treatment, which could reduce the complexity of dengue management. Yet the study recommends a multi-center study with a larger sample size in each category as per WHO Dengue Classification 2009.

ABBREVIATIONS

BT: Body temperature DBP: Diastolic blood pressure DF: Dengue fever without warning signs DFWS: Dengue fever with warning signs HCT: Hematocrit PLT: Platelet PP: Pulse pressure PR: Pulse rate SBP: Systolic blood pressure





SD: Severe dengue WBC: White blood cell

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the HCTM CREM and emergency department staff for their assistance and advice during the data collection.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

Norhayati Mohd Zainee as main author, responsible for the content of this journal, analysis (graph and statistics) and data collection. Kalaivani Chellappan as corresponding author and supervisor, responsible in the journal study design and clinical ethics. Joseph Vehi is co-supervisor responsible for the study design. Petrick and Zuraidah responsible for clinical journal study design and data collection at HCTM. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS)

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

Data and materials used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

This retrospective study has been approved by the Research Committee of HCTM. Ethics certificate number (UKM 1.5.3.5/244/FKAB–2015-03).

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.



Figure 2: Box-plot of the DFWS vs. SD variables. DFWS: Dengue fever with warning signs; SD: Severe dengue; HRD: Pulse rate day; SYSD: Systolic day; HCTD: Hematocrit day

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization. Handbook For Clinical Management Of Dengue. Geneva: WHO. 2012: 114.
- Bhatt S, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL, et al. The global distribution and burden of dengue. Nature. 2013;496(7446):504–7. PMID: 23563266. Available from: 10.1038/nature12060.
- 3. World Health Organization. Malaysia:Country Cooperation Stretegy at Glance. 2013.
- Daumas RP, Passos SR, Oliveira RV, Nogueira RM, Georg I, Marzochi KB, et al. Clinical and laboratory features that discriminate dengue from other febrile illnesses: a diagnostic accuracy study in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. BMC Infect Dis. 2013;13(1):77. PMID: 23394216. Available from: 10.1186/1471-2334-13-77.
- World Health Organization. Dengue Situation Update 482 Update on the Dengue situation in the Western Pacific Region. 2015;2014(482):5.
- Agensi Remote Sensing Malaysia (ARSM), Kementerian Sains Teknologi dan Inovasi (MOSTI) KKM (KKM). iDengue untuk Komuniti [Internet]. Available from: http://idengue.remotesensi ng.gov.my/.
- Woon YL, Hor CP, Hussin N, Zakaria A, Goh PP, Cheah WK. A Two-Year Review on Epidemiology and Clinical Characteristics of Dengue Deaths in Malaysia, 2013-2014. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10(5):e0004575. PMID: 27203726. Available from: 10. 1371/journal.pntd.0004575.
- Management of Dengue Infection in Adults (3rd Edition) 2015 available on-line at: http://www.acadmed.org.my/index.cfm? &menuid=67.

- Srichaikul T, Nimmannitya S. Haematology in dengue and dengue haemorrhagic fever. Best Pract Res Clin Haematol. 2000;13(2):261–76. PMID: 10942625. Available from: 10.1053/ beha.2000.0073.
- Dengue clinical management 2013. Facilitator's training manual, WHO Western Pacific Region.
- Srikiatkhachorn A, Rothman AL, Gibbons RV, Sittisombut N, Malasit P, Ennis FA, et al. Dengue - best to classify it. Clin Infect Dis. 2011;53(6):563–7. PMID: 21832264. Available from: 10.1093/cid/cir451.
- 12. Shu PY, Huang JH. Current advances in dengue diagnosis. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol. 2004;11(4):642–50. PMID: 15242935. Available from: 10.1128/CDLI.11.4.642-650.2004.
- Ng EL, Thein TL, Hao Y, Lee L, Lye D, Leo YS. Vital signs in elderly dengue patients: trends of blood pressure and pulse rate. Int J Infect Dis. 2014;21(S1):447. Available from: 10.1016/j.ijid.2014. 03.1342.
- Kalayanarooj S. Clinical Manifestations and Management of Dengue/DHF/DSS. Trop Med Health. 2011;39(4):83–7. PMID: 22500140. Available from: 10.2149/tmh.2011-S10.
- Chawla P, Yadav A, Chawla V. Clinical implications and treatment of dengue. Asian Pac J Trop Med. 2014;7(3):169–78. PMID: 24507635. Available from: 10.1016/S1995-7645(14) 60016-X.
- McCluskey A, Lalkhen AG, Statistics II. Central tendency and spread of data. Contin Educ Anaesth Crit Care Pain. 2007;7(4):127–30. Available from: 10.1093/bjaceaccp/ mkm020.
- Pinsky MR. Functional hemodynamic monitoring. Crit Care Clin. 2015;31(1):89–111. PMID: 25435480. Available from: 10. 1016/j.ccc.2014.08.005.
- Thanachartwet V, Wattanathum A, Sahassananda D, Wacharasint P, Chamnanchanunt S, Kyaw EK, et al. Dynamic Measurement of Hemodynamic Parameters and Cardiac Preload in Adults with Dengue: A Prospective Observational Study. PLoS One. 2016;11(5):e0156135. PMID: 27196051. Available

from: 10.1371/journal.pone.0156135.

- Hadinegoro SR. The revised WHO dengue case classification: does the system need to be modified? Paediatr Int Child Health. 2012;32(1):33–8. PMID: 22668448. Available from: 10.1179/2046904712Z.0000000052.
- Ralapanawa U, Alawattegama AT, Gunrathne M, Tennakoon S, Kularatne SA, Jayalath T. Value of peripheral blood count for dengue severity prediction. BMC Res Notes. 2018;11(1):400. PMID: 29925425. Available from: 10.1186/s13104-018-3505-4.
- Lee VJ, Lye DC, Sun Y, Fernandez G, Ong A, Leo YS. Predictive value of simple clinical and laboratory variables for dengue hemorrhagic fever in adults. J Clin Virol. 2008;42(1):34–9. PMID: 18282738. Available from: 10.1016/j.jcv.2007.12.017.
- World Health Organization. Dengue: guidelines for diagnosis, treatment, prevention, and control [Internet]. New Editio. Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases. 2009. x, 147. Available from: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/public ations/2009/9789241547871_eng.pdf; 2009.
- 23. Saldaña-Herrera VG, Legorreta-Soberanis J, Andersson N, Ramírez-Hernández E, del Castillo-Medina JA, Salas-Franco LS, et al. Clinical profile of dengue and predictive severity variables among children at a secondary care hospital of Chilpancingo, Guerrero, Mexico: case series. Bol Med Hosp

Infant Mex. 2016;73(4):237-242. PMID: 29421386.

- Kularatne SA, Gawarammana IB, Kumarasiri PR. Epidemiology, clinical features, laboratory investigations and early diagnosis of dengue fever in adults: a descriptive study in Sri Lanka. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health. 2005;36(3):686–92. PMID: 16124439.
- Narasimhan D, Katragadda S, Sathish M. Retrospective study of white blood cell count and hematocrit in dengue fever. Int J Adv Med. 2018;5(1):24–26. Available from: 10.18203/2349-3933.ijam20175499.
- Lee VJ, Lye DC, Sun Y, Leo YS. Decision tree algorithm in deciding hospitalization for adult patients with dengue haemorrhagic fever in Singapore. Trop Med Int Health. 2009;14(9):1154–9. PMID: 19624479. Available from: 10.1111/ j.1365-3156.2009.02337.x.
- Md-sani SS, Md-noor J, Han W, Gan S, Rani N, Tan H, et al. Prediction of mortality in severe dengue cases. BMC Infect Dis. 2018;18(1):232. PMID: 29783955. Available from: 10.1186/s12879-018-3141-6.
- Triana D, Kurniati A, Wirastari GG. Relationship Between Platelet, Hematocrit and Leukocyte with Dengue Severity in Bengkulu City, Indonesia. Eur J Mol and Clinical Med. 2020;7(10):2305–11.